

On special Wieferich's primes

Luis H. Gallardo

Running head: Wieferich's primes

Mathematics, University of Brest
6, Avenue Le Gorgeu, C.S. 93837,
29238 Brest Cedex 3, France.
e-mail : Luis.Gallardo@univ-brest.fr

AMS Subject Classification: 11A25, 11A07.

Keywords: cyclic groups, order, Sophie Germain's primes, Wieferich's primes, Mersenne numbers, cyclotomic polynomials, congruences.

(Concerned with sequences A001220, A001348, A005384, A005385.)

Abstract

We prove that there are no Wieferich's primes $q = 2p + 1$ where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is a prime number.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite multiplicative cyclic group of cardinal d with unity 1. Throughout the whole paper we denote by $o(G)$ the order d of G and we denote by $o_d(a)$ the order of an element $a \in G$ that is, the smallest nonnegative integer $n \geq 0$ such that $a^n = 1$ in G .

More precisely, given a prime number q , we denote by $o_q(a)$ the order of an element $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ and by $o_{q^2}(b)$ the order of an element $b \in (\mathbb{Z}/q^2\mathbb{Z})^*$.

As usual, for positive integers $a \parallel b$ means that a divides b (noted also $a \mid b$) and that $\gcd(a, b/a) = 1$. If p is a prime number such that $p^2 \mid 2^{p-1} - 1$ then p is *Wieferich's* prime. For a prime number p and for a positive integer $r > 0$ we denote by $(\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^*$ the cyclic group of nonzero elements of the ring $\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$.

We call *Sophie Germain's* prime a prime number p such that $q = 2p + 1$ is also prime. It is well known [4, Theorem 103, p. 80] that for a Sophie Germain's prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ the prime number $q = 2p + 1$ is the smallest prime divisor of the Mersenne number $M_p = 2^p - 1$. Since it is believed that Mersenne numbers M_p with prime p are square-free, it may have some interest to know whether or not q^2 divides M_p . This can be investigated with computers since $(2p + 1)^2$ divides M_p is equivalent to $o_q(2) = p$ and this is easy to check for Sophie Germain's primes p . Indeed, we checked that q^2 never divides M_p for all Sophie Germain' primes less than 10^{11} , in little computer time. But, of course, this was a loss of computer time, since it is well known [1] (see also Lemma 2) that for prime numbers p the Mersenne number M_p can only have primary divisors $r^a \parallel M_p$ with r prime and $a > 1$, when r is a Wieferich's prime, that is $2^{r-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{r^2}$. Observe that for a Sophie Germain's prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $q^2 \mid M_p$ implies that $2^{2p} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2}$ so that $q = 2p + 1$ is a Wieferich's prime, and Dorais and Klyve [2] proved recently that there is no Wieferich's primes less than $6.75 \cdot 10^{15}$.

Fortunately, it turns out from [6, 5, 7] that it is easy to prove that q^2 does not divide M_p when $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is a Sophie Germain's prime. This proves also that there are no Wieferich's primes $q = 2p + 1$ where $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is a prime number. The object of this short paper is to prove these facts.

More precisely, the object of this paper is to prove the following two results:

Theorem 1. *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ be a Sophie Germain's prime. Set $q = 2p+1$. Then*

$$q \nmid M_p = 2^p - 1.$$

In other words, the square q^2 of the smallest prime divisor $q = 2p+1$ of the Mersenne number M_p does not divide M_p .

Theorem 2. *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ be a Sophie Germain's prime. Set $q = 2p+1$. Then q is not a Wieferich's prime.*

2 Some tools

Lemma 1. *Let G be a finite cyclic group, let g be a generator of G . Let $x, y \in G$, be two elements of G . Let $r > 0$ be a positive integer. For an element $h \in G$, we denote by $o(h)$ his order. We denote by $o(G)$ the order of G .*

- a) *One has $o(xy) = o(x)o(y)$ whenever $\gcd(o(x), o(y)) = 1$.*
- b) *One has $o(x^r) = \frac{o(x)}{\gcd(o(x), r)}$.*

Bray and Warren [1, Theorem 1] proved that

Lemma 2. *Let p, q be odd prime numbers.*

- a) *If p divides $M_q = 2^q - 1$ then*

$$2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{M_q}.$$

- b) *If p^2 divides $M_q = 2^q - 1$ then*

$$p^2 \mid 2^{p-1} - 1.$$

Hardy and Wright [4, Theorem 103] proved and mentions Euler (see also [3, Theorema 11, p. 28]) at the origin of:

Lemma 3. *Let $p > 7$ be an odd prime number such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. then $q = 2p + 1$ is a prime number if and only if*

$$2^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}.$$

Thus, if q is prime, $q \mid M_p = 2^p - 1$ and M_p is composite.

Maxfield [7, Theorem] proved that

Lemma 4. *Let p be an odd prime number and let $r > 0$ be a positive integer. Let $a \in G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ be a nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ of order $e > 1$. Then either a or a_1 where $a_1 = a^{e-1}$ has order ep^{r-1} in the cyclic group $G(r) = (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^*$.*

The special case when a generates G was first announced by Lebesgue [5]:

Lemma 5. *Let p be an odd prime number and let $r > 0$ be a positive integer. Let $a \in G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ be a generator of G , that is $o_p(a) = p - 1$. Then either a or a_1 where $a_1 = a^{p-2}$ generates the cyclic group $G(r) = (\mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z})^*$.*

As usual define the Bernoulli numbers B_ν by:

$$B_0 = 1, B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, B_{2k+1} = 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0, \quad (1)$$

$$B_r = \sum_{n=0}^r \binom{r}{n} B_n \quad (2)$$

Emma Lehmer [6] proved that

Lemma 6. *Let p be an odd prime number. Let $\nu > 0$ be a positive integer such that $\nu \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$. Then*

$$\sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r^\nu \equiv pB_\nu \pmod{p^2}$$

3 Proof of the Theorems

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 since by Lemma 3 we have $q \nmid 2^p + 1$. In more detail: Assume that $q = 2p + 1$ is a Wieferich's prime. Then $q^2 \mid$

$(2^p + 1)(2^p - 1)$ but by Lemma 3, $q \nmid 2^p + 1$, so that $q^2 \mid 2^p - 1$. But this contradicts Theorem 1.

We prove now Theorem 1. Observe that $p \geq 11$, so that $q > 3$. By Lemma 3 we obtain $q \mid M_p$. Assume now that $q^2 \mid M_p$. Thus, $2^q \equiv 2 \pmod{q^2}$ and $o_{q^2}(2) = p$. We shall produce a contradiction.

First proof is as follows: Observe that $x = -2$ has order

$$o_q(x) = o_q(-1)o_q(2) = 2o_q(2)$$

by Lemma 1. But $o_q(2) = p$, so $o_q(x) = 2p$. In other words x generate the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. So $\{x, x^2, \dots, x^{q-1}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, q-1\}$. Thus computing both sides of the congruence modulo q^2 of Lemma 6 with $r = x$ and $\nu = 2$ we get the contradiction:

$$1 \equiv \frac{4^q - 1}{3} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} ((-2)^k)^2 \equiv \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} r^2 \equiv qB_2 \equiv \frac{q}{6} \pmod{q^2}.$$

A second proof is as follows: Observe that

$$r = o((\mathbb{Z}/q^2\mathbb{Z})^*) = 2pq.$$

As before, $x = -2$ generates $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. But $x^{2p} \equiv 2^{2p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q^2}$ so by Lemma 5, $y \equiv x^{q-2} \pmod{q^2}$ must generate $(\mathbb{Z}/q^2\mathbb{Z})^*$. But this is impossible since $y \equiv x^{q-2} \equiv -\frac{2^q}{4} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \pmod{q^2}$ and $y^{2p} \equiv 1 \pmod{q^2}$. Alternatively, from Lemma 1 b) we get the contradiction $o_{q^2}(y) = 2p$ since $o_{q^2}(-2) = 2p$ and $q - 2 = 2p - 1$.

A third proof comes from Lemma 4: Observe that $p = o_q(2)$. So by Lemma 4 either $x = 2$ or $y = 2^{p-1}$ has order pq in the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}/q^2\mathbb{Z})^*$. But both statements are false since $p = o_{q^2}(2)$.

References

- [1] H. G. BRAY, L. R. WARREN, *On the square-freeness of Fermat and Mersenne numbers*, Pacific J. Math. **22** (1967), 563–564.
- [2] F. G. DORAIS, D. W. KLYVE, *Near Wieferich Primes up to 6.7×10^{15}* , Available online at <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~doraais/docs/wieferich.pdf> (2008).

- [3] L. EULER, *Theoremata circa divisores numerorum, Novi Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae, Reprinted in, Euler archive* [E134]. <http://www.math.dartmouth.edu/~euler> **1** (1750), 20–48.
- [4] G. H. HARDY, E. M. WRIGHT, *An introduction to the theory of numbers - 4th Edit.*, Oxford : At the Clarendon Press - XVI - 421 p., 1960.
- [5] V. A. LEBESGUE, *Théorème sur les racines primitives*, Comptes Rendus **64** (1867), 1268–1269.
- [6] E. LEHMER, *On congruences involving Bernoulli numbers and the quotients of Fermat and Wilson*, Ann. of Math. **39** (1938), 350–360.
- [7] J. MAXFIELD, M. MAXFIELD, *The existence of integers less than p belonging to $ep^{r-1} \pmod{p}$* , Math. Mag. **33** (1959/1960), 219–220.